# The Factors Effective on Bone Mineral Density in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients

Oktay Özkan, Savaş Öztürk, Serhat Karadağ, Meltem Gürsu, Abdullah Şumnu, Egemen Cebeci, Yonca Sevim, Sami Uzun, Zeki Aydın, Rümeyza Kazancıoğlu

## ABSTRACT

Bone mineral metabolism deteriorates gradually beginning from the early stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD). But, the KDIGO-2009 guideline could not provide high quality data regarding the biochemical tests related with bone mineral disorder in CKD. The aim of our study was to analyse the relationship between bone mineral densitometry and clinical and biochemical parameters in chronic peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients. Besides the demographic parameters, routine hematological and biochemical analysis results of PD patients followed up in our clinic were recorded. Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured at lumbar vertebrae and femur neck using DEXA machine. The mean lumbar T- and femur T-score were -1.03±1.20 (minimum:-3.73; maximum:+1.75) and -2.49±1.20 (minimum:-4.63; maximum:-0.51), respectively. Lumbar T score was significantly higher than femur T score (p<0.0001). Eight patients had BMD within normal limits; there was osteopenia in 16 and osteoporosis in 29 patients. While there was a negative correlation between femur T-score and age (r=-0.36, p=0.026), no correlation was detected between lumbar T-score and age (r=-0.17, p=0.21). With multivariate analysis of the factors related with femur T-score; age and body mass index (BMI) were the independent determinants while gender, parathyroid hormone levels and use of active vitamin D were not effective. Age was related negatively while BMI was related positively with BMD: BMD measurement at femur is more accurate than that at lumbar vertebrae in PD patients. BMD is low in most of the PD patients; and age and BMI are the major determinative factors.

Key words: Bone mineral density, peritoneal dialysis, osteoporosis

# Periton Diyaliz Hastalarında Kemik Dansitesini Etkiliyen Faktörler

#### ÖZET

Kronik böbrek yetersizliği (KBY)'nin erken evrelerinden başlayarak kemik-mineral metabolizması giderek bozulur. Ancak KDIGO-2009 kılavuzunda KBY'de kemik mineral bozukluğu ile ilişkili verilerin çoğunluğunun kanıt düzeyi düşük olduğu ifade edilmiştir. Bu çalışmada, kronik periton diyalizi (PD) hastalarımızın kemik mineral dansitesi (KMD) ölçümleri ile klinik ve biyokimyasal parametreleri arasındaki ilişkiyi araştırdık. Hastanemizin PD ünitesinden takipli 53 hastanın demografik verileri yanında rutin hematolojik ve biyokimyasal tetkikleri kaydedildi. Hastalarda lomber vertebra ve femur boynundan DEXA cihazıyla kemik mineral dansitesi (KMD) ölçümü yapıldı. Hastaların lomber-T skoru -1.03±1.20[(-3.73)-(+1.75)] bulunur iken femur-T skoru -2.49±1.20[(-4.63)-(0.51)] olarak saptandı. Lomber T skoru, femur T skorundan anlamlı olarak daha yüksekti (p<0.0001). Sekiz hastanın KMD değeri normalken 16'sında osteopenia, 29'unda osteoporoz saptandı. Femur-T skor ile yaş arasında negatif korelasyon saptanırken(r=-0.36, p=0.026), lomber-T skoruyla yaş arasında korelasyon saptanmadı(r=-0.17, p=0.21). Femur-T skoruyla ilişkili parametrelerin çok değişkenli analizi yapıldığında; yaş ve VKİ, femur-T skorunun bağımsız belirleyicileri olduğu, cinsiyet, PTH düzeyi ve aktif D vitamin kullanımının anlamlı olarak etkilemediği saptandı. Yaş negatif, VKİ ise pozitif yönde etkiliydi. PD hastalarında femur boynundan yapılan KMD ölçümleri lomber vertebradan yapılan ölçümlere göre daha anlamlıdır. PD hastalarının çoğunda KMD düşüklüğü mevcuttur ve özellikle yaş ve VKİ majör etkili faktörlerdir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Kemik mineral yoğunluğu, periton diyalizi, osteoporoz

Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Nephrology

Received: 08.08.2012, Accepted: 02.04.2013

Correspondence: Uzm. Dr. Oktay Özkan Haseki Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Nefroloji Kliniği, Fatih /Istanbul Tel: 0212 529 44 00, Faks: 0212 529 44 63, Mobil: 0533 338 30 81 E-mail: ozkano2000@yahoo.com

# INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an important health problem for both the patients and the general population due to its high morbidity and mortality. The early and proper diagnosis of pathologies is important in those patients. These pathologies increase significantly when dialysis initiated. As it is well known; defects in bone structure and mineral metabolism occur in CKD beginning from the early stages. Measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) is a reliable predictor of future fracture in postmenopausal and senile osteoporosis in the general population. The decrease in bone mass results in increased friability. It is not possible to consider patients with stage 5 CKD similar to the general population. Since osteoporosis is only a part of a very complex metabolic bone disorders including secondary hyperparathyroidism, osteomalacia and advnamic bone disease.

According to KDIGO 2009 guidelines; routine measurement of BMD in patients with stage 3-5 CKD is not recommended; because it is not useful in determining fracture risk and identifying the type of bone mineral disorder. But the grade and quality of the recommendations are not strong enough (1). All of the studies leading to this comment are cross sectional with varying and indefinite results. Similarly, the biochemical tests for diagnosis of CKD related bone mineral disease are also not strong. Our aim was to study the relationship of BMD findings with clinical and biochemical parameters among peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients followed up in our unit.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chronic PD patients who gave informed consent and who did not have exclusion criteria among 69 patients followed up in our PD unit were included into this cross sectional study. Patients aged less than 18 and more than 80 years, those with dialysis duration less than three months and patients with malignancy were excluded. The demographic data including age, gender, weight, height, body mass index (BMI) were recorded as well as primary kidney disease, duration of CKD and dialysis, the modality of PD treatment, the drugs used (angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors-ACEi-, angiotensin receptor blockers-ARB-, other antihypertensive drugs, statins, active vitamin D) were recorded.

# Comorbidities

Hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and ischemic heart disease were recorded as comorbidities. Hypertension was accepted to be present in the presence of history of hypertension; or measurement of blood pressure more than 140/90 mmHg at two separate times. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed in in the presence of fasting blood glucose level above 126 mg/dl; or blood glucose level above 200 mg/dl at any time or at the second hour of oral glucose tolerance test; and was recorded if the patient had history of diabetes mellitus. The diagnosis of hyperlipidemia was put according to the criteria of National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP ATP) III; age and the other risk factors of the patients. Ischemic heart disease was diagnosed if the patient had history of acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery by-pass surgery or coronary interventions; typical symptoms of coronary artery disease (angina pectoris, angina equivalent), and positive findings on electrocardiography, echocardiography, stress tests or coronary angiography.

# Laboratory

Serum glucose, urea, creatinin, uric acid, cholesterol, triglyceride, sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, parathyroid hormone (PTH), total protein, albumin, aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), leukocyte count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, ferritin, high sensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP) levels were studied with samples obtained after 12 hours of fasting. Serum glucose, urea, creatinin, uric acid, lipid parameters, sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, total protein, albumin, AST, ALT and ALP levels were studied by appropriate methods using Siemens Advia 2400 auto analyzer. Hematological parameters were studied from samples kept in tubes with ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) using ABX Pentra DX120 machine. PTH and ferritin levels were studied by immunoassay method using Siemens Advia Centaur® XP machine. hsCRP levels were studied using Siemens Advia 2400® machine by turbidometric method.

# Measurement of BMD

The measurement was performed at L2-L4 anteroposterior lumbar vertebrae and femoral neck using Norland Cooper Surgical Inc.-USA dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) machine. Results were expressed as T scores. Patients were divided into three groups described by World Health Organization according to the T scores measured (2): Normal (Femur T score>-1); osteopenia (-2.5<T score<-1) and osteoporosis (T score<-2.5).

#### Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out with Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows ver. 15.0. All numerical variables were given as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Two groups were compared with paired Student's t-test or Mann Whitney U tests when necessary. Chi-square test with Yates correction and Fisher's

exact test were used for 2X2 contingency tables when appropriate for non-numerical data. Correlations between T scores and other numerical parameters were analyzed with Spearman's rho correlation test. Groups were compared with Student's t-test. Comparisons in the BMD groups and PTH groups were made by Kruskal Wallis-H analysis of variance because the distribution was abnormal. P values less than 0.05 were accepted as significant. Multivariate analyses of the parameters related to femur T score was carried out with linear regression model with "enter" method.

Table 1. The demographic and clinical data of the patients.

	Moon	Std Dov	Minimum	Maximum	
	meun	JLU. DEV.	Minimum	Muximum	
Demographic data	F0 <b>7</b>	45.2	24.0	75.0	
Age	52.7	15.2	24.0	75.0	
Gender (female/male)	30723		1.0	24.0	
Duration of CKD (years)	1.2	4.6	1.0	26.0	
PD duration (months)	41.7	24.8	4.0	96.0	
Weight (kg)	/1.2	16.4	42.2	107.5	
BMI (kg/m2)	27.6	6.5	15.9	43.6	
Height (cm)	161.0	11.3	142.0	190.0	
BSA (m2)	1.74	0.21	1.28	2.24	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	128	21	90	180	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	80	10	60	100	
Mean BP (mmHg)	96	13	70	126	
PD modality n, (%)					
CAPD		33 (	(62.3)		
APD		10 (	(18.9)		
CCPD		7 (1	3.2)		
Hybrid regime		3 (5	5.7)		
Primary kidney disease n. %					
Hypertensive nephrosclerosis		8 (1	(5.1)		
Diabetic nephropathy		14 (	(26.4)		
Glomerulonephritis			6 (11.3)		
ADPKD		3 (5	5.7)		
Chronic pyelonephritis		5 (9	9.4)		
VUR nephropathy		3 (5	5.7)		
Unknown		14 (	(26.4)		
Drugs n. %					
ACE inhibitors		8 (1	(5.1)		
ARB		6 (1	1.3)		
Acetylsalicylic acid		12 (	(22.6)		
Statin		13 (	(24)		
Beta blockers		20 (	(37.7)		
Active vitamin D		19 (	(35.8)		
Erythropoiesis stimulating agents		14 (	(26.4)		
Comorbidities n. %					
No		12 (	(22.6)		
Hypertension		41 (	(77)		
Ischemic heart disease		9 (1	7)		
Diabetes mellitus		15 (	(28.3)		
Hyperlipidemia		21 (	(39)		
Heart failure		4 (7	7.5)		
BMI (kg/m2) BMI (kg/m2) Height (cm) BSA (m2) Systolic BP (mmHg) Diastolic BP (mmHg) Mean BP (mmHg) PD modality n, (%) CAPD APD CCPD Hybrid regime Primary kidney disease n. % Hypertensive nephrosclerosis Diabetic nephropathy Glomerulonephritis ADPKD Chronic pyelonephritis VUR nephropathy Unknown Drugs n. % ACE inhibitors ARB Acetylsalicylic acid Statin Beta blockers Active vitamin D Erythropoiesis stimulating agents Comorbidities n. % No Hypertension Ischemic heart disease Diabetes mellitus Hyperlipidemia Heart failure	27.6 161.0 1.74 128 80 96	$\begin{array}{c} 10.4\\ 6.5\\ 11.3\\ 0.21\\ 21\\ 10\\ 13\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 33(\\ 10(\\ 7(1)\\ 3(5)\\ 8(1)\\ 14(\\ 0\\ 3(5)\\ 5(9)\\ 3(5)\\ 14(\\ 0\\ 12(\\ 13(\\ 20(\\ 19(\\ 14(\\ 12(\\ 13(\\ 20(\\ 19(\\ 14(\\ 12(\\ 15(\\ 21(\\ 4(7)))))))))))))$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.2 \\ 15.9 \\ 142.0 \\ 1.28 \\ 90 \\ 60 \\ 70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (62.3) \\ (18.9) \\ (3.2) \\ (5.7) \\ (26.4) \\ (26.4) \\ (5.7) \\ (26.4) \\ (5.7) \\ (26.4) \\ (27.7) \\ (26.4) \\ (27.7) \\ (26.4) \\ (27.7) \\ (35.8) \\ (26.4) \\ (22.6) \\ (24) \\ (27.7) \\ (35.8) \\ (26.4) \\ (22.6) \\ (77) \\ (77) \\ (28.3) \\ (39) \\ (7.5) \end{array}$	43.6 190.0 2.24 180 100 126	

CKD: Chronic kidney disease; PD: Peritoneal dialysis; BMI: Body mass index; BSA: Body surface area; BP: Blood pressure; CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; APD: Automated peritoneal dialysis; CCPD: Chronic cyclic peritoneal dialysis; ADPKD: Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; VUR: Vesicoureteral reflux; ACE: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker.

	Mean	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum
Biochemical data				
Glucose (mg/dl)	136	81	72	479
Urea (mg/dl)	100	35	11	211
Creatinine (mg/dl)	8	2.8	4	16.3
Uric acid (mg/dl)	6.0	1.0	4.0	8.8
Sodium (mEq/L)	138.0	3.7	128.0	148.0
Potassium (mEq/L)	4.1	.6	3.0	6.0
Calcium (mg/dl)	9.0	.6	7.9	10.3
Phosphorus (mg/dl)	5.0	1.2	2.9	8.6
CaxP (mg2/dl2)	45.7	12.2	24.0	77.0
PTH (pg/mL)	560	429	80	1900
AST (U/L)	17	7	6	450
ALT (U/L)	17	10	5	68
ALP (U/L)	135	193	45	1428
GGT (U/L)	29	50	8	353
LDH (U/L)	197	52	95	367
Total protein (g/dl)	6.5	.7	5.0	8.5
Albumin (g/dl)	3.7	0.3	2.7	4.5
hsCRP (mg/dl)	2.06	4.28	.01	26.01
Iron (μg/dl)	66	22	16	158
Total iron binding capacity (μg/dl)	247	33	195	338
Transferrin saturation (%)	26.9	9.9	6.2	61.0
Ferritin (ng/mL)	387.6	321.8	24.9	1650.0
Hematocrit (%)	32.6	4.0	21.4	42.7
Leukocyte (/µL)	8339	2663	3500	16800
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	10.7	1.3	6.9	14.3
Thrombocyte (x1000/ μL)	275.4	99.1	40.0	567.0
MCV (fl)	91.9	4.8	82.1	107.0
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	188	44	113	338
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	42	16	20	90
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	112	34	58	235
VLDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	33	16	12	79
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	172	94	35	475
Residual urine volume (ml/day)	819	790	0	2600
	1.02	1 20	2 72	4 75
Lumpar vertebrae	-1.03	1.20	-3./3	1./2
гетогаі песк	-2.49	1.20	-4.63	0.51

## RESULTS

Fifty three patients (female/male: 30/23) were included in the study. The mean age in female and male patients was  $50\pm15$  years and  $56\pm16$  years, respectively. The demographic data and the basal laboratory findings are presented in Table-1 and Table-2. Lumbar and femur T scores were  $-1.03\pm1.20$  (minimum:-3.73-maximum:+1.75) and  $-2.49\pm1.20$  (minimum:-4.63-maximum:0.51), respectively. Lumbar T score was significantly higher than the femur T score (p<0.0001); and they were correlated with each other (r=0.410, p= 0.002). Femur T score was negatively correlated significantly with age (r= -0.36, p= 0.026); while lumbar T score was not (r= -0.17, p=0.21) (Figure 1). There was

no correlation of either lumbar or femur T scores with duration of CKD and PD treatment, weight, BMI, serum albumin, calcium, phosphorus, PTH, ALP and hemoglobin levels.

Eight patients had normal BMD values; while 16 had osteopenia and 29 had osteoporosis. The age, PD duration, treatment modality, peritoneal Kt/V, creatinine clear-

Table 4.	The	relationship	o between	gender	and BMD.
----------	-----	--------------	-----------	--------	----------

		Mean	Std. deviation	р
Femur Neck	Male	-2.97	0.91	0.01
	Female	-2.12	1.27	
Lumbar vertebrae	Male	-1.00	1.19	0.88
	Female	-1.05	1.23	

 Table 3. The relationship between gender and BMD.

	Female	Male	Total
	n, %	n, %	n, %
Normal	8 (27)	0(0)	8(15)
Osteopenia	10(33)	6(26)	16(30)
Osteoporosis	12(40)	17(74)	29(55)
Total	30	23	53

**Table 5.** Multivariate analysis results of factors affecting on T cores measure at femoral neck.

,						
	В	Std. Error	Beta	Р		
Constant	-2.654	0.946	0.007			
Gender	-0.535	0.329	-0.223	0.110		
Age	-0.026	0.011	-0.329	0.019		
BMI	0.062	0.027	0.335	0.027		
РТН	0.000	0.000	0.068	0.642		
Active vitamin D	-0.135	0.329	-0.054	0.684		

ance, calcium, phosphorus, ALP and PTH levels were similar in patients with normal BMD, osteopenia and osteoporosis. Patients with normal BMD were all female (p=0.011); and the groups with osteopenia and osteoporosis were similar regarding gender (p=0.17) (Table 3). Femoral T scores were significantly higher in women (Table 4, Figure 2).

There was no correlation of BMD with the presence of DM, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and ischemic heart disease. Patients grouped according to their PTH levels as low (<150pg/ml, n: 6), normal (150-300pg/ml, n: 6) and high (>300pg/ml, n: 41) had similar lumbar (p=0.18) and femur (p=0.37) T scores. With multivariate analysis conducted with parameters related with femur T score; age and BMI were found to be the independent variables determining femur T score, while gender, PTH level and active vitamin D treatment did not affect T score (Table 5). BMD was negatively correlated with age and positively with BMI.



Figure 1. The relationship between femur T score and age

## DISCUSSION

We detected in our study a statistically significant difference between lumbar and femur T scores in our patients (p<0.001). BMD measured by DEXA at lumbar vertebrae may be overestimating in both uremic and nonuremic population. This is thought to be due to the presence of osteophytes, aortic calcification and scoliosis (3,4). T scores at the femoral neck have been measured as lower than in the lumbar vertebrae in other studies also (5-9). Arici et al (10) and Pongchaiyakul et al (11) found difference between T scores measured at hip in uremic patients compared with the control group; while corresponding measurements at spine were similar.

When T score at femur was considered; eight of the patients (15%) had normal T scores while 16 cases (30%) had osteopenia and the remainder 29 patients (55%) had osteoporosis. Patients with normal BMD, osteopenia and osteoporosis had similar age, PD duration, treatment modality, peritoneal Kt/V, creatinin clearance, calcium,



**Figure 2.** The femur and lumbar T scores according to the BMD groups

	Dialysis type	Patient number	Age	Dialysis duration (month)	Osteopenia (%)	Osteoporosi (%)	s BMI (kg/m	PTH 12) (pg/ml)
Ersoy et al.(9)	PD	292	56±16	37.2±25.2	30	26	25.2±4.6	255.6±348.2
Negri et al. (5)	PD	65	E: 53.2±13.1 K: 48.3±13.1	40.3±23.2	56.9	21.5	NA	NA
Baszko-Blaszyk								
et al. (12)	PD	37	E: 51.7±15.8 K: 41.8±14.1	<12	40.5	21.6	NA	214.4±306.6
Taal et al.(7)	HD	88	58.2±17.3	41.5	48.9	19.3 2	3.9±4.4	238.8±222.1
Urena et al.(13)	HD	70	60.5±14.3	76.8±81.6	43	47 2	3.0±4.4	298.0±301.0
Our study	PD	53	52.7±15.2	41.7±24.8	30	55 2	7.6±6.5	560.0±429.0

 Table 6. Osteopenia and osteoporosis prevalances in dialysis patients

NA: Not available

phosphorus, ALP and PTH levels. Different osteopenia and osteoporosis prevalence rates have been reported in dialysis patients in the literature (Table 6). The higher osteoporosis prevalence detected in our study could not be explained by the mean age, dialysis duration or BMI; but the most striking difference was about PTH levels which was higher than the above mentioned studies (560±429 pg/ml vs. 214.4±306.6 and 298±301 pg/ml). Although PTH levels were relatively high, there was no correlation between PTH levels and T scores. Subgroups with low, normal and high PTH levels had similar T scores at femur and lumbar vertebrae. Grzegorzewska et al (14) reported that elevated PTH level is important in prediction of BMD with the lowest values seen in patients with the highest PTH levels. But there are studies showing no relation (9,19,20) or negative correlation also (7,15-18). Beyond the speculations related to the geographical or racial differances, these variations may be associated to PTH level. Because it is well known that the effects of PTH on bone structure and turnover are long term effects. So it is difficult to make connection between PTH level and BMD in a cross sectional study. Moreover, PTH levels change continuously due to many factors including dialysis dose, medications, measurement methods, etc. Supporting this idea, PTH levels reported in the above mentioned studies have high level of standard deviation.

The strong correlation between age and BMD seen in the general population may be weakened in the dialysis population due to many factors affecting on BMD other than age. We detected a statistically significant negative correlation between age and T score measured at femur (r= -0.36, p= 0.026), while age was not correlated with the corresponding measurement at lumbar vertebrae.

Ersoy et al (9) reported a strong negative correlation with age; while Taal et al (7) found this relation only in females and Grzegorzewska et al (8) only with measurement at femur, similar to our study. The prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis were similar in male and female patients (p= 0.17); while patients with normal BMD value were all female (p=0.011). Female patients were found to have higher T scores at femur compared with male patients. But with multivariate analysis, the relation with gender disappeared, leaving age and BMI as the major determinants of T score measures at femur. This may be explained by lower mean age higher mean BMI detected in females. Ersoy et al (9) reported no correlation between gender and BMD, while Taal et al (7) detected negative correlation between female gender and BMD at hip in hemodialysis (HD) patients. Orlic et al (14) found BMD values at both femur and lumbar vertebrae lower in female HD patients. Negri et al (5) reported that the mean femur and lumbar T scores were similar in both gender in PD patients; but total bone mineral content was significantly lower in female patients. In a recent study conducted in HD patients, it was reported that the decrease in bone volumetric density detected by high resolution peripheric quantitative computerized tomography was less in males compared with females (21). Grzegorzewska et al (8) found no relation between BMD (both femur and lumbar vertebrae) and gender in patients with dialysis duration more than 20 months. We detected no correlation between BMD and weight, BMI, serum albumin levels. But with multivariate analysis; BMI together with age were the major independent variable for T core measured at femur. This finding is consistent with the literature showing positive correlation between BMD and BMI (7,9,22,23).

In conclusion, BMD measurements performed at femur are more reliable compared with measurements at lumbar vertebrae in PD patients. The majority of PD patients have low T scores; with age and BMI being the major determinants.

#### REFERENCES

- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD-MBD Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD). Kidney Int Suppl 2009;(113):S1-130.
- The World Health Organization Assessment of osteoporosis at the primary health care level. Summary report of a WHO Scientific Group 2007; WHO, Geneva.
- Masud T, Langley S, Wiltshire P, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Effect of spinal osteophytosis on bone mineral density measurements in vertebral osteoporosis. BMJ 1993 17;307(6897):172-3.
- Ott SM. Review article: Bone density in patients with chronic kidney disease stages 4-5. Nephrology (Carlton) 2009;14(4):395-403.
- Negri AL, Barone R, Quiroga MA, Bravo M, Marino A, Fradinger E, Bogado CE, Zanchetta JR. Bone mineral density: serum markers of bone turnover and their relationships in peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2004;24(2):163-8
- Stein MS, Packham DK, Ebeling PR, Wark JD, Becker GJ. Prevalence and risk factors for osteopenia in dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 1996;28(4):515-22.
- Taal MW, Masud T, Green D, Cassidy MJ. Risk factors for reduced bone density in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999;14(8):1922-8.
- Grzegorzewska AE, Młot-Michalska M. Influence of age and sex on bone mineral density in dialysis patients. Adv Perit Dial 2007;23:77-81
- Ersoy FF, Passadakis SP, Tam P, et al. Bone mineral density and its correlation with clinical and laboratory factors in chronic peritoneal dialysis patients. J Bone Miner Metab 2006;24(1):79-86.
- Arici M, Erturk H, Altun B, et al. Bone mineral density in haemodialysis patients: A comparative study of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and quantitative ultrasound. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2000;15(11):1847-51.
- Pongchaiyakul C, Pongskul C, Ruengjui S, Sirivongs D. Bone mineral density among patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD). J Med Assoc Thai 2005;88 Suppl 5:S40-5.

- 12. Daria Baszko-Blaszyk, Alicja E. Grzegorzewska, Wanda Horst-Sikorska, Jerzy Sowinski. Bone Mass in Chronic Renal Insufficiency Patients Treated with Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis. Adv Perit Dial 2001;17:109-13.
- Ureña P, Bernard-Poenaru O, Ostertag A, et al. Bone mineral density, biochemical markers and skeletal fractures in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2003 18: 2325-33.
- 14. Orlic L, Crncevic Z, Pavlovic D, Zaputovic L. Bone mineral densitometry in patients on hemodialysis: difference between genders and what to measure. Ren Fail 2010;32(3):300-8.
- Atsumi K, Kushida K, Yamazaki K, Shimizu S, Ohmura A, Inoue T. Risk factors for vertebral fractures in renal osteodystrophy. Am J Kidney Dis 1999;33(2):287-93.
- 16. Kokado Y, Takahara S, Ichimaru N, et al. Factors influencing vertebral bone density after renal transplantation. Transpl Int 2000;13 Suppl 1:S431-5.
- Nakashima A, Yorioka N, Doi S, Takasugi N, Shigemoto K, Kohno N. Osteoprotegerin and bone mineral density in hemodialysis patients. Osteoporos Int 2006;17(6):841-6.
- Elder GJ, Mackun K. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D deficiency and diabetes predict reduced BMD in patients with chronic kidney disease. J Bone Miner Res 2006;21(11):1778-84.
- Sit D, Kadiroglu AK, Kayabasi H, Atay AE, Yilmaz Z, Yilmaz ME. Relationship between bone mineral density and biochemical markers of bone turnover in hemodialysis patients. Adv Ther 2007;24(5):987-95.
- Doumouchtsis KK, Kostakis AI, Doumouchtsis SK, Tziamalis MP, Stathakis CP, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Dimitroulis D, Perrea DN. Associations between osteoprotegerin and femoral neck BMD in hemodialysis patients. J Bone Miner Metab 2008;26(1):66-72.
- Negri AL, Del Valle EE, Zanchetta MB, Net al. Evaluation of bone microarchitecture by high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography (HR-pQCT) in hemodialysis patients. Osteoporos Int 2012;23(10):2543-50.
- 22. Lei SF, Deng FY, Li MX, Dvornyk V, Deng HW. Bone mineral density in elderly Chinese: effects of age, sex, weight, height, and body mass index. J Bone Miner Metab 2004;22(1):71-8.
- Stehman-Breen CO, Sherrard D, Walker A, Sadler R, Alem A, Lindberg J. Racial differences in bone mineral density and bone loss among end-stage renal disease patients. Am J Kidney Dis 1999;33(5):941-6.