THE EFFECT OF ANTIOXIDANT CAFFEIC ACID PHENETHYL ESTER (CAPE) ON SOME ENZYME ACTIVITIES IN CISPLATIN-INDUCED NEUROTOXICITY IN RATS

Birsen Özyurt¹, Mukaddes Güleç², Hüseyin Özyurt³, Fatih Ekici⁴ Ömer Atış³, Ali Akbaş³

Gaziosmanpaşa University, Faculty of Medicine, Departments of Anatomy¹, Biochemistry³ and Physiology⁴, Tokat, Akyurt Integrated Unit of Ankara Numune Education and Research Hospital, Division of Biochemistry², Ankara, Turkey

Aim: Neurotoxicity is the next common side effect of cisplatin (CDDP)-based chemotherapeutics following nephrotoxicity. We investigated the effect of CDDP on some brain metabolic enzyme activities such as hexokinase (HK), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and malate dehydrogenase (MDH) in an experimental model of CDDP toxicity, and examined the protective role of Caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE), a phenolic antioxidant derived from the honeybee propolis, on the enzyme activities mentioned above.

Methods: Female Wistar albino rats were divided into three groups: sham operation group (n:6), CDDP group (n:9), and CAPE + CDDP group (n:8). All the chemicals used were applied intraperitoneally. HK, G6PD, LDH, and MDH activities were determined spectrophotometrically in the brain supernatant at the end of the surgical procedures.

Results: There were decreased G6PD activities and increased MDH activities in CDDP group compared to control group (p<0.05, p<0.05). LDH activities were increased in CAPE+CDDP group compared to CDDP group (p<0.001).

Conclusion: These results provide a new point of view on the glucose metabolizing enzymes of brain tissue affected from CDDP and the protective effects of CAPE on these enzymes.

Key words: Caffeic acid phenethyl ester, cisplatin, neurotoxicity, metabolic enzymes.

Eur J Gen Med 2006;3(4):167-172

INTRODUCTION

Caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE), an active component of propolis extract, (1) has been synthesized by esterification of caffeic acid (2). At a concentration of 10 μ M, it completely blocks production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in human neutrophils and the xantine/xantine oxidase system (3). Besides its well-known antioxidant activity (2,4). CAPE inhibits certain enzyme activities such as lipoxygenases, cyclooxygenase and glutathione S-transferase (GST) (5-7). CAPE has been reported to have anti-inflammatory (8), cytostatic (1), antiviral (9), antibacterial (10) and antifungal (11) properties.

The antitumor drug cisplatin [Cisdichlorodiammineplatinum (II), CDDP] is widely used in the treatment of solid tumors. The major side effects renal toxicity,

Correspondence:Birsen OZYURT, M.D. Gaziosmanpasa University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Tokat, TURKEY Tel: 903562129500, Fax: 903562129417 E-mail: mdbirsen@hotmail.com neurotoxicity, and hepatotoxicity are dose limiting and occur acutely or after repeated doses (2,13). Beside its nephrotoxicity CDDP causes senrory neuropathy about %20 of patients taking full course of CDDP therapy (14). Since hyperhydration significantly reduces the incidence of renal toxicity, neurotoxicity remains as the only major doselimiting toxicity. The underlying mechanism of neurotoxicity is in argument. There is a report that CDDP causes apoptosis of dorsal root ganglion neurons in vitro and in vivo (15,16) that are not protected by the bloodbrain barrier. Earlier studies have shown that cisplatin generates oxygen radicals, which are known as one of the pathogenic intermediates following chemothrapy (17) and that antioxidants effectively protect neurons from CDDP-induced neurotoxicity (18,19). Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative damage seem to play important role in cell death induced by CDDP (20).

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) is the key regulatory enzyme in the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), whose major physiological role is to supply NADPH by the conversion of glucose 6-phosphate to 6phosphogluconate. NADPH is also generated by the next step in the PPP, the conversion of 6-phosphogluconate to ribulose 5-phosphate by 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (21).

NADPH plays an important role in antioxidant reactions together with glutathion (GSH), glutathion-peroxidase (GS-Px) and catalase (CAT). G6PD, normally thought of as a "housekeeping" enzyme whose expression is constitutive, is, in fact, induced by agents which cause oxidative stress and is essential for resistance to oxidative stress. Hexokinase (HK) is important in the metabolism of glucose (the only form of sugar accepted in the brain). This enzyme catalyzes oxidative phosphorylation of glucose to glucose-6phospate using the gamma phosphate of ATP. Malate dehydrogenase (MDH), an important intermediate in several biosynthatic processes, oxidizes L-malate to oxaloacetate in the last step of citric acide cycle. The reaction yields a NADH molecule, equivalant to 3 ATP. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), catalyzes lactate to piruvate and NAD to NADH (the reaction is reversible). LDH is found in many body tissues, especially in heart, liver, kidney, skeletal muscle, brain, blood cells, and lungs. These enzymes together with G6PD are important enzymes in production of ATP, NADPH and GSH.

The effects of CAPE on CDDP induced brain toxicity have not been examined to date. The objective of our study was to investigate the effects of CAPE on the activities of HK, G6PD, MDH, and LDH which are important enzymes mainly in glycolysis, citric acid cycle and PPP in damaged brain tissue by CDDP in rats and possible role of these enzymes in brain.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

Female Wistar albino rats weighing 200-250 g were purchased from experimental research center, University of Erciyes (Kayseri, TURKEY) and housed in animal laboratory. The animals were fed with a standard diet and kept on a physiological day-night rhythm. The rats were divided into three groups. Group I (control): Isotonic saline solution (an equal volume of CDDP) was injected intraperitoneally (n:6). NaHCO₂ served as control, dissolved with distilled water was given intraperitoneally (as equal volume of CAPE) 24 hours prior to the saline injection, followed by once a day at the same dose until sacrifice. Group II (CDDP): The animals (n: 9) received intraperitoneal injection of CDDP (cisplatinum Ebewe, 0.5 mg/ml) at a dose of 7 mg/kg body wt and were sacrificed 5 days after CDDP injection (22). Brains were obtained for the various measurements. Group III (CAPE+CDDP): CAPE was administered at a dose of 10 µmol/kg body wt (n:8). First dose of CAPE was given 24 hours prior to CDDP injection and continued until sacrifice.

Tissue preperation for biochemical analysis

After brain tissues were obtained for biochemical analysis, the specimens were washed out from contaminating blood with ice-cold buffered saline. They were weighed and cut very thinly with a clean scalpel blade and then homogenized in 0.15 M ice-cold KCl for 3 min at 16,000 rpm with a homogenizer (Ultra Turrax Type T-25-B; Labortechnic, Staufen, Germany). The homogenates were then centrifuged for 1 h at 4° C at 5000 x g. All measurements were done at the supernatant.

Enzyme activity determinations

The activities of HK (EC 2.7.1.1), G6PD (EC 1.1.1.49), MDH (EC 1.1.1.37), and LDH (EC 1.1.1.27) enzymes were determined spectrophotometrically (23),from the oxidation of NADH (for MDH and LDH) or the reduction of NADP⁺ (for HK and G6PD) by taking the decrease or increase of the absorbance (A_{340}) , in reaction mixtures at 25°C for 1-min period. Tissue protein levels were determined by the Lowry procedure, with bovine serum albumin as a standard (24). Activities were given in miliunits (mU) and units (U) per miligram protein (mg protein⁻¹). All samples were assayed in duplicate.

Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as means \pm standard error. The one-way ANOVA analysis of variance and post-hoc multiple comparison tests (LSD) were performed on the data of biochemical variables to examine differences among groups. A p-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Tubiert fretuborie enzyme detrittes in the bruin tissues of study groupst				
	HK	G6PD	LDH	MDH
	mU/mg protein	mU/mg protein	U/mg protein	U/mg protein
I- Control (n=6)	39.2±4	14.07 ± 1	4.91 ± 0.5	11.97 ± 0.5
II- CDDP (n=9)	44.6±2	10.17 ± 1	5.12 ± 0.4	13.04 ± 1.1
III- CDDP+CAPE (n=8)	33.4 ± 4	7.77 ± 1	7.91 ± 0.8	17.34 ± 1.7
P values I-II	ns	0.019	ns	ns
I-III	ns	0.001	0.004	0.039
II-III	ns	ns	0.003	ns
Results were given in mean + standard error ns: not significant				

Table1. Metabolic enzyme activities in the brain tissues of study groups.

Results were given in mean \pm standard error. ns: not significant.

RESULTS

Results were summarized in Table 1. G6PD activity was found to be decreased in CDDP group compared to control group (p<0.019). CAPE application decreased the G6PD activity compared to control group significantly (p<0.001). LDH and MDH activity in CDDP group was not changed compared to control group but CAPE increased the LDH activity compared to control and CDDP groups. On the other hand, MDH activity in CDDP+CAPE group increased compared to control group (p=0.039). The difference in MDH activity between CDDP and CDDP plus CAPE groups was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Neurotoxicity in cancer patients treated with CDDP has limited the use of CDDP at effective doses as nephrotoxicity. Reducing CDDP-induced neurotoxicity has clinical importance in cancer chemotherapy. The underlying mechanism of the toxicity remains unknown. CDDP-induced neurotoxicity includes peripheral sensory polineuropathy, ototoxicity, and rarely, focal encepahalopathy (25). Some evidence indicates that CDDPinduced side effects are, at least in part, the result of the formation of oxygen free-radicals and studies in human focused that CDDP treatment induces a fall in plasma antioxidant levels because of oxidative stress (26). Brain tissue is highly sensitive to damage by free radicals because of its high use of oxygen, its high concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids and its low concentration of cytosolic antioxidants.

So far various drugs have been used in an attempt to prevent or reduce CDDP-induced neurotoxicity (27-29). Many clinical studies suggested that GSH offers neuroprotection from the toxic effects of CDDP (19,30,31). Oxidative stress caused by various stimuli lead to oxidation of GSH, the major redox power of the cell. A previous study showed that CAPE, a potent free radical scavenger, caused a neuroprotective effect on biochemical status in pentylenetetrazol-induced oxidative damage in mice (32). Similar results are suggested in various studies used CAPE as a nueroprotective agent (33-35).

Cellular events involving oxidative damage mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) may evoke neurodegeneration. It has been known that CDDP is a potent redox cycler that generates toxic ROS and, hence, causes oxidative injury of various cells and tissues (36). As mitochondria is the major site of ROS, oxidative stress in and around these organelles would be increased (37,38). CDDP binds to mitochondrial DNA, interacts with phospholipids and phosphatidylserine in membranes, disrupts the cytoskleton, and affects the polimerization of actin. Considerable evidence indicates that CDDP can kill cells through the induction of apoptosis characterized by unique morphological and biochemical features depends on the energy supplied by ATP as well as on active protein syntesis (39). A mitochondrial step involving outermembrane permeabilization is controlled by the pro- and antiapoptotic members of the bcl-2 family and leads to the cytosolic release of mitochondrial intermembrane space proteins that can trigger either caspase activation or caspase-independent pathways (40). Kruidering et al. showed that CDDPinduced mitochondrial dysfunction was the result of inhibition of the enzymatic complexes of the respiratory chain by CDDP, with decreased ATP levels as a consequence. CDDP caused inhibition of glutathionereductase leading decreased GSH levels. They also found that, LDH was only slightly inhibited by CDDP, glucose-6-phosphatase, located in the endoplasmatic reticulum, CAT (peroxisomes), and acid phosphatase (lysosomes) were not altered significantly (38). In our study LDH was significantly increased in CAPE+CDDP group compared to control and CDDP group. Increases of LDH activities may refer to increased antioxidant defence system of the brain tissue.

The essentiality of G6PD in mammalian

cells has been well recognized. It has been shown to be necessary for cell growth (41). The role of G6PD in the cell response to oxidative stress is well established in human erythrocytes (42). Within these cells, which have a very limited biochemical apparatus and where gene expression cannot be regulated because a nucleus is lacking, G6PD is the only source of NADPH (in other cells there are different pathways that produce NADPH); furthermore, an impaired production of this reductive agent directly affects the production of both GSH and catalase, thus inhibiting both pathways that dispose of hydrogen peroxide. Ursini et al. found that a pulse of oxidative stress, obtained by the direct addition of H₂O₂ to the cells, stimulates the expression of G6PD in a rapid and transient manner in the various human cell lines of different tissue specificity that have been tested. When they inhibited the activity of a potent H₂O₂ cellular detoxification agent, CAT, they did not observe any detectable increase in G6PD expression, which suggests that the effect is not due to general degenerative conditions (43). In this study, CDDP administration at a dose of 7 mg/kg body wt resulted in a significant decrease in G6PD activity in the rat brain, maybe due to depletion of GSH. But CAPE did also decrease (nonsignificantly) G6PD activity compared to CDDP and control group. This effect of CAPE seems to be an unexpected result and may be a moot point. On the other hand there is a report that CAPE inhibits the activity of GST (7). GST is required in detoxifying and metabolizing of agents such as chemotherapeutics. Reduced GST can prevent the konjugation of CDDP with glutathion and thus increased CDDP can cause more and more oxidative stress by inhibiting the activity of G6PD.

It was shown that in CDDP-treated rats, although statistically insignificant, MDH activity was found to be decreased in the kidney tissues (44).We found here increased MDH activity both in CDDP (insignificant) and CDDP+CAPE (significant) group. MDH plays an important role in producing ATP in the last step of citric acid cycle. ATP is essential in many metabolizing processes. So increased MDH may refer to increased antioxidant defence system in the brain tissues.

The resultant oxidative stress by CDDP, activates the transcription factor NF- κ B, which in turn stimulates the production of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) Anda et al. evaluated the effect of TNF- α on

the transport of CDDP or high molecular weight dextran labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC-dextran) across bovine brain microvessel endothelial cell (BMEC) monolayers that was conducted on sideby-side diffusion chambers in vitro. TNF- α selectively promoted the in vitro permeability of the blood-brain barrier to CDDP without disrupting tight junctions (45). So, CDDP can enter and cause neurotoxicity in the brain tissue in this way. The agents that can downregulate the activation of NF-KB have potential for therapeutic interventions. CAPE has been shown to block specifically and completely the activation of NF-kB induced by a wide variety of inflamatory agents including tumor necrosis factor and H₂O₂ (46).

In this study CAPE caused significant increase in the activity of LDH compared to CDDP. Decreases in the activities of HK, G6PD, and increase in the activity of MDH were not significant. CAPE seem to be lightly effective on the activities of these enzymes in CDDP-induced effect to rat brain tissue. Different experimental studies are needed to understand the real effect of CAPE on these metabolizing enzymes. Nonetheless this study was a new approach to the neuroprotection against CDDP with CAPE via affecting some metabolizing enzymes, which are important in glycolysis, citric acid cycle and PPP consequently important in antioxidant defence system.

REFERENCES

- Grunberger D, Banerjee R, Eisinger K, et al. Preferential cytotoxicity on tumor cells by caffeic acid phenethyl ester isolated from propolis. Experientia 1988;44:230-2
- Nagaoka T, Banskota AH, Tezuka Y, Saiki I, Kadota S. Selective antiproliferative activity of caffeic acid phenethyl ester analogues on highly liver-metastatic murine colon 26-L5 carcinoma cell line. Bioorg Med Chem 2002;10:3351-9
- 3. Sud'ina GF, Mirzoeva OK, Pushkareva MA, Korshunova GA, Sumbatyan NV, Varfolomeev SD. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester as a lipoxygenase inhibitorwith antioxidant properties. FEBS Lett 1993; 329:21-4
- Vieira O, Laranjinha J, Madeira V, Almeida L. Cholesteryl ester hydroperoxide formation in myoglobincatalyzed low density lipoprotein oxidation: concerted antioxidant activity

of caffeic and p-coumaric acids with ascorbate. Biochem Pharmacol 1998;55: 333-40

- Mirzoeva OK, Yaqoob P, Knox KA, and Calder PC. Inhibition of ICE family cysteine proteases rescues murine lymphocytes from lipoxygenase inhibitorinduced apoptosis. FEBS Lett 1996;396: 266-70
- Michaluart P, Masferrer JL, Carothers A M, et al. Inhibitory effects of caffeic acid phenethyl ester on the activity and expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human oral epithelial cells and in a rat model of inflammation. Cancer Res 1999; 59:2347-52
- 7. Schefferlie JG, van Bladeren PJ. In vitro and in vivo reversible and irreversible inhibition of rat glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes by caffeic acid and its 2-Sglutathionyl conjugate. Food Chem Toxicol 1993;31:475-82
- Khayyal MT, el-Ghazaly MA, el-Khatib AS. Mechanism involved in the antiinflammatory effect of propolis extract. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1993;19:197-203
- Harish Z, Rubinstein A, Golodner M, et al. Suppression of HIV-1 replication by propolis and its immunoregulatory effect. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1997; 23: 89-96
- Grange JM, Davey RW. Antibacterial properties of propolis (bee glue). J R Soc Med 1990;83:159-60
- Kujumgiev A, Tsverkova I, Serkedjieva Y, et al. Antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity of propolis of different geographic origins. J Ethnopharmacol 1999;64:235-40
- 12. Choie DD, Longnecker DS, Dal Campo AA. Acute and chronic CDDPium nephrotoxicity in rats. Lab Invest 1981; 44:397-402
- Kuhlmann MK, Horsch E, Burkhardt G, Wagner M, Kohler H. Reduction of CDDP toxicity in cultured renal tubular cells by the bioflavonoid quercetin. Arch Toxicol 1998;72: 536-40
- 14. McDonald ES, Randon KR, Knight A, Windebank AJ. Cisplatin preferentially binds to DNA in dorsal root ganglion neurons in vitro and in vivo: a potential mechanism for neurotoxicity. Neurobiol Dis 2005;18:305-13
- 15. Fischer SJ, McDonald ES, Gross L, Windebank AJ. Alterations in cell cycle regulation underlie cisplatin induced apoptosis of dorsal root ganglion neurons in vivo. Neurobiol Dis 2001;8:1027-35

- 16. Gill JS, Windebank AJ. Cisplatin-induced apoptosis in rat dorsal root ganglion neurons is associated with attempted entry into the cell cycle. J Clin Invest 1998;101:2842-50
- 17. Masuda H, Tanaka T, Takahama U. Cisplatin generates superoxide anion by interaction with DNA in a cell-free system. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1994;203:1175-80
- Hamers FP, Brakkee JH, Cavalletti E, et al. Reduced glutathione protects against cisplatin-induced neurotoxicity in rats. Cancer Res 1993;53:544-9
- 19. Smyth JF, Bowman A, Perren T, et al. Glutathione reduces the toxicity and improves quality of life of women diagnosed with ovarian cancer treated with cisplatin: results of a double-blind, randomised trial. Ann Oncol 1997;8:569-73
- 20. Leibbrandt ME, Wolfgang GH, Metz AL, Ozobia AA, Haskins JR. Critical subcellular targets of CDDP and related platinum analogs in rat proximal tubule cells. Kidney Int 1995;48:761-70
- 21. Yeh GC, Daschner PJ, Lopaczynska J, MacDonald CJ, Ciolino HP. Modulation of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity and expression is associated with aryl hydrocarbon resistance in vitro. J Biol Chem 2001;276:34708-13
- 22. Zhang JG, Zhong LF, Zhang M, Xia YX. Protection effects of procaine on oxidative stress and toxicities of renal cortical slices from rats caused by CDDP in vitro. Arch Toxicol 1992;66:354-8
- 23. Boehringer Mannheim. Biochemica information handbook. Boehringer Mannheim GmbH; 1973
- 24. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951;193:265-75
- 25. Pace A, Savarese A, Picardo M, et al. Neuroprotective effect of vitamin E supplementation in patients treated with cisplatin chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:927-31
- 26. Weijl NI, Hopman GD, Wipkink-Bakker A, et al. Cisplatin combination chemotherapy induces a fall in plasma antioxidants of cancer patients. Ann Oncol 1998;9:1331-7
- 27. Appenroth D, Frob S, Kersten L, Splinter FK, Winnefeld K. Protective effects of vitamin E and C on cisplatin nephrotoxicity in developing rats. Arch

Toxicol 1997;71:677-83

- 28. DiPaola RS, Schuchter L. Neurologic protection by amifostine. Semin Oncol, 1999; 26: 82-8
- 29. Rybak LP, Husain K, Morris C, Whitworth C, Somani S. Effect of protective agents against cisplatin ototoxicity. Am J Otol 2000;21:513-20
- 30. Tredici G, Cavaletti G, Petruccioli MG, Fabbrica D, Tedeschi M, Venturino P. Low-dose glutathione administration in the prevention of cisplatininduced peripheral neuropathy in rats. Neurotoxicology 1994;15:701-4
- 31. Cavaletti G, Minoia C, Schieppati M, Tredici G. Protective effects of glutathione on cisplatin neurotoxicity in rats. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1994; 29:771-6
- 32. Ilhan A, Iraz M, Gurel A, Armutcu F, Akyol O. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester exerts a neuroprotective effect on CNS against pentylenetetrazol-induced seizures in mice. Neurochem Res 2004; 29:2287-92
- 33. Noelker C, Bacher M, Gocke P, et al. The flavanoide caffeic acid phenethyl ester blocks 6-hydroxydopamine-induced neurotoxicity. Neurosci Lett 2005;383: 39-43
- 34. Wei X, Zhao L, Ma Z, et al. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester prevents neonatal hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury. Brain 2004;127:2629-35
- 35. Irmak MK, Fadillioglu E, Sogut S, et al. Effects of caffeic acid phenethyl ester and alpha-tocopherol on reperfusion injury in rat brain. Cell Biochem Funct 2003;21:283-9
- 36. Chang B, Nishikawa M, Sato E, Utsumi K, Inoue M. L. Carnitine inhibits CDDPinduced injury of the kidney and small intestine. Arch Biochem Biophys 2002; 405:55-64
- 37. Cadenas E, Davies KJ. Mitochondrial free radical generation, oxidative stress, and aging. Free Radic Biol Med 2000;29: 222-30

- 38. Kruidering M, Van de Water B, de Heer E, Mulder GJ, Nagelkerke JF. CDDP-induced nephrotoxicity in porcine proximal tubular cells: mitochondrial dysfunction by inhibition of complexes I to IV of the respiratory chain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1997;280:638-49
- 39. Gonzalez VM, Fuertes MA, Alonso C, Perez JM. Is CDDP-induced cell death always produced by apoptosis? Mol Pharmacol 2001;59:657-663
- 40. Li S, Basnakian A, Bhatt R, et al. PPAR-alpha ligand ameliorates acute renal failure by reducing CDDPinduced increased expression of renal endonuclease G. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol, 2004; 287:F990-8. Erratum in: Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2005;288: F243
- 41. Tian WN, Braunstein LD, Pang J, et al. Importance of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity for cell growth. J Biol Chem 1998;273:10609-17
- 42. Frank JE. Diagnosis and management of G6PD deficiency. Am Fam Physician 2005; 72: 1277-82
- Ursini MV, Parrella A, Rosa G, Salzano S, Martini G. Enhanced expression of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in human cells sustaining oxidative stress. Biochem J 1997;323: 801-6
- 44. Yilmaz HR, Iraz M, Sogut S, et al. The effects of erdosteine on the activities of some metabolic enzymes during CDDPinduced nephrotoxicity in rats. Pharmacol Res 2004;50:287-90
- 45. Anda T, Yamashita H, Khalid H, et al. Effect of tumor necrosis factor-alpha on the permeability of bovine brain microvessel endothelial cell monolayers. Neurol Res 1997;19(4):369-76
- 46. Gurel A, Armutcu F, Sahin S, et al. Protective role of alpha-tocopherol and caffeic acid phenethyl ester on ischemiareperfusion injury via nitric oxide and myeloperoxidase in rat kidneys. Clin Chim Acta 2004;339(1-2):33-41