**OPEN ACCESS** 

# Association of manganese superoxide dismutase Ala16Val gene polymorphism with diabetic retinopathy risk in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Review Article** 

Annisa Salsabilla Dwi Nugrahani <sup>1</sup>, Gwenny Ichsan Prabowo <sup>2</sup>, Agung Pranoto <sup>3</sup>, Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu <sup>2,4\*</sup>, Bayu Satria Wiratama <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, INDONESIA

<sup>3</sup> Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Dr. Soetomo Teaching Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, INDONESIA

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Tropical Disease, Universitas Airlangga, INDONESIA

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Population Health, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Bulaksumur Yogyakarta, INDONESIA

\*Corresponding Author: citrawati.dyah@fk.unair.ac.id

**Citation:** Nugrahani ASD, Prabowo GI, Wungu CDK, Wiratama BS. Association of manganese superoxide dismutase Ala16Val gene polymorphism with diabetic retinopathy risk in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Electron J Gen Med. 2024;21(3):em592. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/14654

| ARTICLE INFO           | ABSTRACT   |
|------------------------|--|
| Received: 11 Jan. 2024 | Background: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is renowned as a prominent cause of visual impairment worldwide. The   |
| Accepted: 16 May 2024  | association between manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) gene, Ala16Val (rs4880), and DR susceptibility in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) remains contentious.  |
|                        | Objective: This meta-analysis aims to evaluate risk of DR in T2DM patients with MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism.   |
|                        | <b>Methods</b> : A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, EMBASE, and grey literature to identify potential studies assessing the link between MnSOD polymorphism and DR risk among T2DM patients. The data was further analyzed in fixed/random effect models using RevMan 5.3 under five genetic models.                        |
|                        | <b>Results:</b> Six studies comprising 2,132 subjects from four distinct ethnicities were included. The present study revealed that MnSOD gene polymorphism was associated with a significantly increasing DR risk in T2DM patients under the co-dominant model (VV vs. AA) (OR 1.87 [1.42, 2.46], p<0.0001) and dominant model (VV+AV vs. AA) (OR 1.85 [1.02, 3.33], p=0.0400). |
|                        | <b>Conclusions</b> : T2DM individuals with rs4880 VV alleles are more susceptible to DR development, making them as a potential marker for heightened DR susceptibility in T2DM patients, laying the foundation for a gene panel to assess their susceptibility to develop DR.   |
|                        | Keywords: genetic polymorphism, superoxide dismutase, diabetic retinopathy, type 2 diabetes mellitus   |

## INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR), recognized as the earliest and most prevalent complication arising from diabetes, represents a leading cause of vision loss among the working-age population globally [1-3]. Remarkably, nearly one-third of diabetic patients above the age of 40 will develop DR, with approximately one in six facing severe vision impairments such as diabetic macular edema [4]. Clinically characterized by progressive microvascular alterations, DR pathogenesis involves occluded capillaries, neovascularization, breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier (BRB), altered retinal permeability, and macular edema [5]. Multiple factors contribute to the development of DR, including persistent hyperglycemia, uncontrolled lipid levels, hypertension, and genetic influences [3, 6]. In recent years, research has highlighted biochemical mechanisms and genetic factors as promoters of DR, mainly through oxidative stress mechanisms [7, 8]. In diabetic patients, increased oxidative stress resulted from increased polyol and hexosamine pathway activity, hyperactivation of protein kinase C isoforms, and the accumulation of advanced glycation end products [9]. These pathways collectively trigger a cascade of biochemical alterations, ultimately causing excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. This hyperglycemia-induced oxidative stress is recognized as a contributor to endothelial cell dysfunction and apoptosis and accelerated loss of retinal capillary cells [10, 11]. Consequently, these vascular changes are linked to the development of DR.

Manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), the first-line antioxidant, is a scavenger enzyme to combat excessive free radicals. The overproduction of ROS and suppression of its elimination will lead to a pathological cascade of diabetes and

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by Modestum. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Physiology and Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, INDONESIA

its complications, including retinopathy diabetes (DR) [12]. The MnSOD enzyme catalyzes the dismutation of superoxide radicals into  $H_2O_2$ , thus eliminating free radicals from the cell [13]. Previous studies demonstrated that overexpression of MnSOD abrogates retinal mitochondria dysfunction by protecting retinal endothelial cells from oxidative damage, thereby preventing DR [14]. Previous animal models also support the idea that overexpression of MnSOD in diabetic mice prevents the development of retinopathy [15]. As fundamental as its antioxidant role, any structural or functional alterations of MnSOD, including polymorphisms, could have crucial consequences for its functions [9].

Ala16Val (rs4880), the encoding gene of human MnSOD, is located on chromosome 6q25.2. By this far, Ala16Val is the best-studied single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in MnSOD that has been revealed to be functionally pertinent. Previous studies have shown that a single nucleotide polymorphism in the MnSOD enzyme could accelerate the manifestation of diabetic complications, including nephropathy, coronary artery diseases, and acute myocardial infarction [8,16]. This condition occurs due to post-translational covalent modifications in SOD [17]. It is then postulated that the Ala16Val genetic polymorphism may contribute to individual variations in DR susceptibility.

However, previous studies yielded controversial results on the association between Ala16Val polymorphism and DR, specifically the allele linked with its development. Due to the lack of consistent results among prior studies, the present study is crucial in determining the association between the polymorphism of Ala16Val and DR susceptibility in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients statistically. This study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to analyze the association of Ala16Val polymorphism with DR among individuals with T2DM.

## **METHODS**

The present meta-analysis was based on the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) 2020 protocol. This study has been registered in PROSPERO under the registration number CRD42023384576. The complete PRISMA 2020 checklist for systematic reviews and meta-analysis for this study is accessible in **Table A1** in **Appendix A**.

### **Database Searching**

The present study gathered previously published casecontrol or cohort studies investigating the association between MnSOD gene polymorphism Ala16Val and the risk of DR in T2DM individuals. The literature was searched using electronic databases from MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, EMBASE, and grey literature by using MeSH terms: "genetic polymorphism," "superoxide dismutase," and "diabetic retinopathy". The search was conducted in May 2022. PRISMA reporting was then concluded with the assistance of COVIDENCE. The complete searching strategy is provided in **Table B1** in **Appendix B**.

### **Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria**

#### **Patients & samples**

The eligibility criteria for patient inclusion are past individuals diagnosed with T2DM as per American Diabetes Association guideline 2021, along with DR through clinical evaluation by ophthalmologists through fundoscopy or fluorescein angiography. Samples included in the analysis were obtained via standardized molecular techniques such as PCR-RFLP, sequencing, or TaqMan genotyping to confirm the presence of MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism. The framework of inclusion criteria is available in **Table B2** in **Appendix B**.

## **Studies**

All included studies must meet the following criteria:

- (1) a case-control or cohort study,
- (2) investigated the association between MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism and the risk of DR among T2DM individuals,
- (3) reported the risk as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) or provided sufficient data to extract OR with 95% CI data,
- (4) investigated only human subjects, and
- (5) in English.

No specific ethnicities were addressed in this metaanalysis. The articles with unextractable data (unmeasurable data, full-text not available, unstandardized reporting) and duplicated studies were excluded from the record.

### **Study Selection**

Literature search and retrieval were performed by two authors independently. Any disagreements were resolved through discussion, and the final decision was established upon approval of all authors. A systematic reporting of database searching, and study selection is provided in **Figure 1**.

### **Data Extraction**

To minimize bias, two reviewers investigated the potential articles independently. The following data were extracted from each study: primary author, publication year, ethnicity, number of case/control, genotyping method, genotype distribution in case and control, and Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS).

### **Quality Assessment**

NOS was used to examine the quality of included studies, ensuring the production of a high-quality meta-analysis. The NOS assessment evaluated articles from three major domains: selection of participants, comparability between groups, and ascertainment of exposure or outcome. Ratings were ranging from zero-nine, categorizing studies as poor quality (zero-two), fair quality (three-five), and good or high quality (six-nine) [18].

### **Data Analysis & Statistical Methods**

The association strength between MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism was estimated using OR and 95% CI. The present study investigates five genetic models, including allele (A vs. V), dominant (VV+AV vs. AA), recessive (VV vs. AA+AV), co-dominant major vs. minor homozygote (VV vs. AA), and co-dominant heterozygote vs. major homozygote (AV vs. AA).



Figure 1. PRISMA diagram of included studies (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

| Table 1 Characteristics &  | genotype distribution frequ | ency of included studies |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Table T. Characteristics & | genotype distribution negu  | ency of included studies |

|    |      | e Ethnicity  |             | -           | Caral   | Constructions | Genotype distribution |     |     |        |     |    | Chi-     |          | NOC   |
|----|------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|----|----------|----------|-------|
| No | R    |              | A           | ge          | Case/   | Genotyping    | DR (+)                |     |     | DR (-) |     |    | square   |          | NUS   |
|    |      |              | Case        | Control     | controt | methoa        | vv                    | AV  | AA  | vv     | AV  | AA | for HWE  | p-value  | score |
| 1  | [2]  | Egyptian     | 60.10±8.80  | 57.80±9.20  | 53/47   | TaqMan        | 23                    | 22  | 8   | 10     | 25  | 12 | 0.5041   | 0.4780   | 8     |
| 2  | [20] | Iranian      | 52.50±22.50 | 52.50±22.50 | 140/140 | PCR-RFLP      | 32                    | 100 | 8   | 56     | 54  | 30 | 5.1900   | 0.0220   | 9     |
| 3  | [21] | Slovenian    | 66.00±9.50  | 66.90±11.50 | 283/143 | PCR-RFLP      | 80                    | 140 | 63  | 23     | 69  | 51 | 0.1390   | 0.9050   | 8     |
| 4  | [22] | North Indian | 55.92±8.90  | 55.84±12.20 | 446/312 | TaqMan        | 127                   | 218 | 101 | 58     | 194 | 60 | 5.8900   | 0.0150   | 9     |
| 5  | [23] | South Korean | 53.40±13.30 | 52.10±13.20 | 130/174 | PCR-RFLP      | 105                   | 23  | 2   | 138    | 34  | 2  | 0.0990   | 0.7610   | 7     |
| 6  | [24] | Chinese      | N/A         | N/A         | 125/198 | PCR-RFLP      | 99                    | 8   | 18  | 93     | 10  | 36 | 174.2200 | < 0.0100 | 8     |

Note. R: Reference; HWE: Hardy Weinberg equilibrium

The heterogeneity assumption was examined using Chisquare-based Q-test and I2 statistics. The pool estimated ORs were determined using either a fixed or random effects model. The random effects model was applied in the case of betweenstudy heterogeneity (I2>50% and p for heterogeneity <0.0500). Otherwise, the fixed effect model was utilized to generate pooled OR [19].

Sensitivity analysis was done to analyze the influence of data from each study on pooled ORs by eliminating a single study at a time. Based on the available data, a subgroup analysis was performed on ethnicities. All statistical tests were carried out using Review Manager 5.4 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, UK), with two-sided test p-values, and p<0.0500 was considered statistically significant.

### **Publication Bias & Risk of Bias**

Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot and Egger's test. The publication bias was indicated when the data in a funnel plot were distributed disproportionately. In contrast, the absence of publication bias was suggested when the data were distributed approximately symmetrically. Egger's test was calculated using STATA version 17 and significant Egger's test imply a significant publication bias and small study effects.

## RESULTS

#### **Study Selection**

A total of 625 studies were initially obtained from five databases (MEDLINE, Web of Sciences, EMBASE, ScienceDirect, and Scopus) and manually from previous reviews. Among them, 61 duplicate records were removed automatically before screening. During the screening process, 488 articles with irrelevant titles/abstracts were excluded, leaving 76 potential ones for further identification. A total of 71 studies were excluded due to unsuitable study design (review/case report/letters to the editor), including other type(s) of diabetes or complication(s), different SNP, irrelevant outcome(s), or unavailable full-text. Six studies fulfilled the criteria then assessed using NOS as attached in **Table B3** in **Appendix B**. The detail of study flow diagram (PRISMA) can be seen in **Figure 1**.

Eventually, six studies recruited 2,132 participants (1,177 cases and 951 controls) were included. The papers that were retrieved were published from 2006 to 2015. Each included study has a sample size ranging from 280 to 758 participants. Of six studies, two were from East Asia (China and South Korea), two were from West Asia (Egypt and Iran), one from South Asia (North India), and one was from Slovenia [20-24]. Allele and genotype distribution frequencies from each study are concluded in **Table 1**.

## Table 2. Pooled risk estimate under five different genetic model analyses

| SNP MnSOD Ala16Val | n | OR (95% CI)       | p-value (Z test) | I2 for heterogeneity | p-value for heterogeneity | FE/RE |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| V vs. A            | 4 | 1.29 [0.99, 1.68] | 0.0600           | 63                   | 0.0400                    | RE    |
| VV+AV vs. AA       | 5 | 1.85 [1.02, 3.33] | 0.0400**         | 81                   | 0.0004                    | RE    |
| VV vs. AA          | 5 | 1.87 [1.42, 2.46] | <0.0001**        | 28                   | 0.2200                    | FE    |
| VV vs. AA+AV       | 6 | 1.63 [0.71, 3.76] | 0.3800           | 88                   | <0.0001                   | RE    |
| AV vs. AA          | 6 | 1.21 [0.73, 1.98] | 0.4600           | 80                   | 0.0001                    | RE    |

Note. FE: Fixed effects & RE: Random effects



**Figure 2.** Forest plot analysis under (a) allele model (A vs. V); (b) dominant model (VV+AV vs. AA); (c) co-dominant model (AA vs. VV); (d) heterozygote vs. major homozygote (VV vs. AA+AV); & (e) recessive model (AA vs. AV) (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

The other five studies showed the raw data for each genotype distribution frequency in three different genotypes (AA, AV, and VV). As seen in **Table 1**, the study in [23] did not provide a specific frequency for either AV or AA but showed only the frequency of those two combined (AV+AA). This reporting discrepancy resulted in varying study numbers (n) on the pooled risk estimate, as shown in **Table 2**.

# Association Between MnSOD Ala16Val Polymorphism with DR Risk in T2DM Patients

The present study determines the strength of association between MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism with retinopathy diabetes risk in T2DM patients using pooled OR and 95% CI. Five genetic model analyses were conducted on the raw data in the included studies. **Figure 2** shows forest plot analysis.



**Figure 3.** Subgroup analysis: Association of MnSOD Ala16Val to DR susceptibility among T2DM patients in West Asia under (a) codominant model (VV vs. AA); & (b) dominant model (VV+AV v. AA) (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

The present meta-analysis found that MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism was significantly associated with DR risk in T2DM patients under the co-dominant model (OR=1.87 [1.42, 2.46], p<0.0001) (part c in **Figure 2**) and dominant model (OR=1.85 [1.02, 3.33] P=0.0800, p=0.0400) (part b in **Figure 2**) but were not significant under the following genetic models: allele (OR=1.29 [0.99, 1.68], p=0.0600) (part a in **Figure 2**), heterozygote vs. major homozygote (OR=11.63 [0.71, 3.76], p=0.3800) (part d in **Figure 2**), and the recessive model (OR=11.21 [0.73, 1.98], p=0.4600) (part e in **Figure 2**). The random effects model was applied to all five genetic models. The pooled risk estimate using five different models can be seen in **Table 2**.

### **Subgroup Analysis**

We performed a subgroup analysis to assess the association of MnSOD Ala16Val to DR susceptibility among West Asians with T2DM. It is found that the pooled ORs was even stronger among the West Asians patients under the codominant model (VV vs. AA) OR=2.53 [1.24, 5.16], p=0.0100 and dominant model (VV+AV vs. AA) OR=3.29 [1.76, 6.13], p=0.0002 (part a in **Figure 3** and part b in **Figure 3**).

### **Sensitivity Analysis**

There were no significant differences in pooled ORs when one study was excluded at a time, indicating that no single study altered the statistical significance of the overall findings.

## **Risk of Publication Bias**

The funnel plot analysis suggested no obvious evidence of publication bias in the co-dominant model, as the plot displayed approximate symmetrical (**Figure 4**). This finding was further confirmed by Egger's test analysis, which revealed insignificant result for co-dominant model analysis (Z=1.12, p=0.2600), indicating no small sample size affecting the overall effect size in this study. The summary of the risk of bias graph can be seen in **Figure 5**.

## DISCUSSION

The present meta-analysis of case-control studies demonstrated that MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism was significantly associated with the escalating risk of retinopathy diabetes in T2DM patients under the co-dominant



**Figure 4.** Funnel plot of meta-analysis for association between MnSOD Ala16Val gene polymorphism & DR susceptibility in T2DM (AA vs. VV) (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

model (VV vs. AA). Other genetic model analyses found no significant association between DR risk and MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism despite DR incidence linked to the higher frequency of the V allele. The escalating importance of DR has prompted the investigation of genetic risk factor research for DR. Even previously researched, the association between MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism and DR risk in type 2 diabetes patients remains contentious. This result prompted further comprehensive research, specifically for T2DM patients, as it contributes to more than 90% of diabetes cases [2, 3].

### Manganese Superoxide Dismutase Ala16Val Gene Polymorphism & Free Radicals

MnSOD is a metalloenzyme that serves as the first line of defense against ROS. SOD enzyme detoxifies superoxide radicals and catalyzes their transition into  $H_2O_2$ . The MnSOD gene exists on chromosome 6 (6q25.3) and encodes human MnSOD, recognized as SOD2 [25]. MnSOD is vital in maintaining antioxidant balance in living cells as the first-line antioxidant agent inside mitochondria. Any structural or functional alteration in MnSOD, including polymorphism in its encoding gene, may unfavorably diminish its scavenging role in oxidative stress [9, 12].

As the only enzyme inside mitochondria, the impact of polymorphism in MnSOD encoding gene on oxidative stress modulation and subsequent disease prevention has piqued



Figure 5. Risk of bias for included studies (Source: Authors' own elaboration)

growing interest [12]. It is revealed that the polymorphism in exon 2 of the SOD2 gene A16V (C/T) (rs4880) gene is considered functional as it alters the structural configuration in the mitochondrial targeting domain, eventually resulting in diminished antioxidant capability and limited posttranscriptional enzymatic transport [26]. It was previously explained the immense role of MnSOD polymorphism at the free radical level [27]. Substituting C to T (GCT to GTT) in MnSOD polymorphism alters the translation from alanine (Ala) to valine (Val) [27]. mRNA will be easily degraded, forming a βsheet secondary structure rather than the expected  $\alpha$ -helix. The newly formed configuration then will be poorly recognized by the receptor, resulting in mistargeting and leading to reduced SOD transport efficacy in complex I mitochondria. The processes then finally lead to 30-40% less MnSOD activity, causing less scavenging activity and more oxidative stress in mitochondria [26, 28, 29].

Previous findings revealed that MTS-Ala precursor MnSOD (A) can be optimally transported across both mitochondrial membranes quickly to reach the matrix, while MTS-Val precursor MnSOD (B) is instead partially anchored to the mitochondrial inner membrane. This finding concluded that the Ala precursor in Mn-SOD produces an active, matrixial MnSOD homotetramer, 30-40% more active than Val-MnSOD precursor.  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structure will eventually

diminish MnSOD activity and escalate oxidative stress. This polymorphism plays a critical pathophysiological mechanism in development of retinopathy and other diabetic complications as it worsens hyperglycemia condition [27, 29].

## **Impaired Antioxidant Response & Retinopathy Diabetes**

Retina, a tissue rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids, is constantly exposed to visible light and consumes more oxygen, making it particularly susceptible to oxidative stress damage [11, 21]. The increasing level of oxidative stress could particularly contribute to the significant mitochondrial fragmentation in retinal endothelial cells. This phenomenon can be explained as oxidative stress could initiate a proapoptosis cascade through several mechanisms, including epigenetic modification, mitochondrial dysfunction, and neurodegeneration [9, 30].

Hyperglycemia-induced epigenetic modification in retinopathy diabetes is closely linked to abnormal transcription in antioxidant genes. Alterations in transcription factors, histone modification (methylation and acetylation), or hypermethylation of mtDNA result in limited defense against free radicals and abnormally elevated levels of proinflammatory mediators. Eventually, overexpression of proinflammatory proteins results in vascular lesions, inferring its crucial role as a contributor to diabetic vascular complications, including DR [31-34].

The epigenetic modifications in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) have been demonstrated as a latent influence to induce base mismatch in the mitochondrial system [35]. Damaged mtDNA will then cause impaired transcription and protein synthesis, resulting in abnormal function of the electron transport chain system, especially in complex I. The dysfunction of mitochondria promotes cytochrome C leakage to initiate subsequent pro-apoptosis caspase cascade [36, 37]. The retinal vascular cell apoptosis will then result in acellular capillaries and pericyte loss, the hallmark of retinopathy diabetes itself [30].

Pericyte cells will go through abnormal apoptosis, causing altered permeability in the membrane, hence causing acellular capillaries. Various growth factor pathways will be altered, resulting in structural and functional changes in the retina. Excessive ROS levels will destroy BRB by elevating the VEGF level, altering vascular permeability. In addition, elevated VEGF levels will cause neovascularization in the retina. However, the newly established vessels are vulnerable and quickly go through а breakdown, causing outpouching and microaneurysms. These processes then will lead to retinopathy diabetes, especially when excessive oxidative stress remains uncontrolled [9].

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis that investigated the association between MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism and DR risk in T2DM patients. The identification of a significant association of MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism, specifically in the co-dominant model (AA vs. VV), provides a potentially useful marker for increased DR susceptibility in T2DM patients, paving the way for the development of a targeted gene panel for assessing individual predisposition. The inclusion of a small number of studies, however, may have impacted the statistical power of our meta-analysis. Further studies involving larger sample sizes across diverse ethnic groups is necessary to enhance understanding of the association between MnSOD Ala16Val polymorphism and DR susceptibility among T2DM patients.

## CONCLUSIONS

This meta-analysis revealed a significant association between the MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism and an elevated risk of DR in individuals with T2DM, specifically under the co-dominant (VV vs. AA) and dominant model (VV+VA vs. AA). Therefore, the MnSOD Ala16Val genetic polymorphism emerges as a potential marker for heightened DR susceptibility in T2DM patients, laying the foundation for a gene panel to assess their tendency to develop DR.

Author contributions: ASDN, GIP, AP, & CDKW: draft manuscript; ASDN, GIP, & AP: methodology, literature search, study screening, data acquisition, & risk of bias assessment; ASDN & AP: project conceptualization; ASDN: formal statistical analysis & result interpretation; GIP, AP, & CDKW: extensive research on topic & critical revisions; & CDKW: project supervision. All authors have agreed with the results and conclusions.

Funding: No funding source is reported for this study.

**Ethical statement:** The authors stated that the study does not require any ethical approval as it only extract secondary data that is accessible online in medical metadata.

**Declaration of interest:** No conflict of interest is declared by the authors.

**Data sharing statement:** Data supporting the findings and conclusions are available upon request from the corresponding author.

## REFERENCES

- Wang W, Lo ACY. Diabetic retinopathy: Pathophysiology and treatments. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(6):1816. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19061816 PMid:29925789 PMCid:PMC6032159
- Saremi L, Taghvaei S, Feizy F, Ghaffari ME, Babaniamansour S, Saltanatpour Z. Association study between superoxide Dismutases gene polymorphisms and development of diabetic retinopathy and cataract in Iranian patients with type two diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2021; 20(1):627-34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-021-00790-7 PMid:34178856 PMCid:PMC8212287
- Sienkiewicz-Szłapka E, Fiedorowicz E, Król-Grzymała A, et al. The role of genetic polymorphisms in diabetic retinopathy: Narrative review. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(21):15865. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms242115865 PMid:37958858 PMCid:PMC10650381
- Zhang X, Saaddine JB, Chou C-F, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United States, 2005-2008. JAMA. 2010;304(6):649-56. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010. 1111 PMid:20699456 PMCid:PMC2945293
- Duh EJ, Sun JK, Stitt AW. Diabetic retinopathy: Current understanding, mechanisms, and treatment strategies. JCI Insight. 2017;2(14):e93751. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci. insight.93751 PMid:28724805 PMCid:PMC5518557
- Al-Kharashi AS. Role of oxidative stress, inflammation, hypoxia and angiogenesis in the development of diabetic retinopathy. Saudi J Ophthalmol. 2018;32(4):318-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2018.05.002 PMid:30581303 PMCid:PMC6300752
- Nakanishi S, Yamane K, Ohishi W, et al. Manganese superoxide dismutase Ala16Val polymorphism is associated with the development of type 2 diabetes in Japanese-Americans. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2008; 81(3):381-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2008.06.003 PMid:18653258
- Nomiyama T, Tanaka Y, Piao L, et al. The polymorphism of manganese superoxide dismutase is associated with diabetic nephropathy in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients. J Hum Genet. 2003;48(3):138-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s100380300021 PMid:12624725
- Kang Q, Yang C. Oxidative stress and diabetic retinopathy: Molecular mechanisms, pathogenetic role and therapeutic implications. Redox Biol. 2020;37:101799. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.redox.2020.101799 PMid:33248932 PMCid: PMC7767789
- Kanwar M, Chan P-S, Kern TS, Kowluru RA. Oxidative damage in the retinal mitochondria of diabetic mice: Possible protection by superoxide dismutase. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007;48(8):3805-11. https://doi.org/10. 1167/iovs.06-1280 PMid:17652755
- Kowluru RA, Atasi L, Ho Y-S. Role of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase in the development of diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2006;47(4):1594-9. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.05-1276 PMid:16565397
- Pourvali K, Abbasi M, Mottaghi A. Role of superoxide dismutase 2 gene Ala16Val polymorphism and total antioxidant capacity in diabetes and its complications. Avicenna J Med Biotechnol. 2016;8(2):48-56. PMid:27141263

- Santos JM, Tewari S, Goldberg AFX, Kowluru RA. Mitochondrial biogenesis and the development of diabetic retinopathy. Free Radic Biol Med. 2011;51(10):1849-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.08.017 PMid:21911054 PMCid:PMC3202722
- 14. Madsen-Bouterse SA, Zhong Q, Mohammad G, Ho Y-S, Kowluru RA. Oxidative damage of mitochondrial DNA in diabetes and its protection by manganese superoxide dismutase. Free Radic Res. 2010;44(3):313-21. https://doi.org/10.3109/10715760903494168 PMid: 20088710 PMCid:PMC3025400
- Kowluru RA, Kanwar M. Oxidative stress and the development of diabetic retinopathy: Contributory role of matrix metalloproteinase-2. Free Radic Biol Med. 2009;46(12):1677-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbio med.2009.03.024 PMid:19345729 PMCid:PMC2683342
- Kakko S, Päivänsalo M, Koistinen P, Kesäniemi YA, Kinnula VL, Savolainen MJ. The signal sequence polymorphism of the MnSOD gene is associated with the degree of carotid atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis. 2003;168(1):147-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9150(03)00091-1 PMid: 12732398
- Yamakura F, Kawasak H. Post-translational modifications of superoxide dismutase. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1804(2):318-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap. 2009.10.010 PMid:19837190
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Available at: https://www.ohri.ca /programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp (Accessed: 10 January 2024).
- 19. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Cochrane; 2021.
- Haghighi SF, Salehi Z, Sabouri MR, Abbasi N. [Polymorphic variant of MnSOD A16V and risk of diabetic retinopathy]. Mol Biol (Mosk). 2015;49(1):114-8. https://doi.org/10.7868/ S002689841501005X PMid:25916115
- Petrovič MG, Cilenšek I, Petrovič D. Manganese superoxide dismutase gene polymorphism (V16A) is associated with diabetic retinopathy in Slovene (Caucasians) type 2 diabetes patients. Dis Markers. 2008;24(1):59-64. https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/940703 PMid:18057537 PMCid:PMC3850621
- Vanita V. Association of RAGE (p.Gly82Ser) and MnSOD (p.Val16Ala) polymorphisms with diabetic retinopathy in T2DM patients from north India. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014;104(1):155-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres. 2013.12.059 PMid:24529564
- Lee SJ, Choi MG, Kim D-S, Kim TW. Manganese superoxide dismutase gene polymorphism (V16A) is associated with stages of albuminuria in Korean type 2 diabetic patients. Metabolism. 2006;55(1):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. metabol.2005.04.030 PMid:16324912
- 24. Ye L-X, Yang M-P, Qiu H, Guo K-Q, Yan J-S. [Association of the polymorphism in manganese superoxide dismutase gene with diabetic retinopathy in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients]. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi. 2008;25(4):452-4.
- Flekac M, Skrha J, Hilgertova J, Lacinova Z, Jarolimkova M. Gene polymorphisms of superoxide dismutases and catalase in diabetes mellitus. BMC Med Genet. 2008;9:30. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-9-30 PMid:18423055 PMCid:PMC2386118

- Banerjee M, Vats P. Reactive metabolites and antioxidant gene polymorphisms in Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Redox Biol. 2014; 2:170-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2013. 12.001 PMid:25460725 PMCid:PMC4297945
- Sutton A, Imbert A, Igoudjil A, et al. The manganese superoxide dismutase Ala16Val dimorphism modulates both mitochondrial import and mRNA stability. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2005;15(5):311-9. https://doi.org/10.1097/01213011-200505000-00006 PMid: 15864132
- Vats P, Sagar N, Singh TP, Banerjee M. Association of superoxide dismutases (SOD1 and SOD2) and glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPx1) gene polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Free Radic Res. 2014;49(1):17-24. https://doi.org/10.3109/10715762.2014.971782 PMid: 25283363
- Bresciani G, Cruz IBM, de Paz JA, Cuevas MJ, González-Gallego J. The MnSOD Ala16Val SNP: Relevance to human diseases and interaction with environmental factors. Free Radic Res. 2013;47(10):781-92. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 10715762.2013.836275 PMid:23952573
- Roy S, Kim D, Sankaramoorthy A. Mitochondrial structural changes in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy. J Clin Med. 2019;8(9):1363. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8091363 PMid:31480638 PMCid:PMC6780143
- Zhong Q, Kowluru RA. Epigenetic changes in mitochondrial superoxide dismutase in the retina and the development of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes. 2011;60(4):1304-13. https://doi.org/10.2337/db10-0133 PMid:21357467 PMCid: PMC3064104
- Kowluru RA, Santos JM, Mishra M. Epigenetic modifications and diabetic retinopathy. Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:635284. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/635284 PMid:24286082 PMCid:PMC3826295
- 33. Kowluru RA, Kowluru A, Mishra M, Kumar B. Oxidative stress and epigenetic modifications in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2015;48:40-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2015.05.001 PMid: 25975734 PMCid:PMC6697077
- 34. Perrone L, Matrone C, Singh LP. Epigenetic modifications and potential new treatment targets in diabetic retinopathy. J Ophthalmol. 2015;2014:789120. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/789120 PMid:25165577 PMCid:PMC4137538
- 35. Mishra M, Kowluru RA. DNA methylation–A potential source of mitochondria DNA base mismatch in the development of diabetic retinopathy. Mol Neurobiol. 2019;56(1):88-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1086-9 PMid:29679259
- 36. Guerra-Castellano A, Díaz-Quintana A, Pérez-Mejías G, et al. Oxidative stress is tightly regulated by cytochrome c phosphorylation and respirasome factors in mitochondria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115(31):7955-60. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806833115 PMid:30018060 PMCid:PMC6077723
- Mishra M, Zhong Q, Kowluru RA. Epigenetic modifications of Nrf2-mediated glutamate-cysteine ligase: Implications for the development of diabetic retinopathy and the metabolic memory phenomenon associated with its continued progression. Free Radic Biol Med. 2014;75:129-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2014.07.001 PMid:25016074 PMCid:PMC10280282

# **APPENDIX A**

| Section & topic              | Item | Checklist item   | RPN                     |
|------------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|
| Title                        |      |  |                         |
| Title                        | 1    | Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis or both.   | 0                       |
| Abstract                     |      |  |                         |
|                              |      | Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study           |                         |
| Structured                   | 2    | eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results;       | 1                       |
| summary                      |      | limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.            |                         |
| Introduction                 |      |  |                         |
| Rationale                    | 3    | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.                               | 1-3                     |
| Rationate                    |      | Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants                    | 10                      |
| Objectives                   | 4    | interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).  | 1&3                     |
| Methods                      |      |  |                         |
| Protocol &                   |      | Indicate if a review protocol exists if and where it can be accessed (e.g. Web address) and if               |                         |
| registration                 | 5    | available, provide registration information including registration number.                                   | 3                       |
|                              |      | Specify study characteristics (e.g. PICOS length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g. vears        |                         |
| Eligibility criteria         | 6    | considered language nublication status) used as criteria for eligibility giving rationale                    | 3-4                     |
| Information                  |      | Describe all information sources (e.g. databases with dates of coverage contact with study authors to        |                         |
| sources                      | 7    | identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched  | 3 & SM                  |
| 3001003                      |      | Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database including any limits used such that it     |                         |
| Search                       | 8    | could be repeated  | SM                      |
|                              |      | State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and if |                         |
| Study selection              | 9    | state the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, engibility, included in systematic review, and, in | 4 & Figure 1            |
| Data collection              |      |  |                         |
|                              | 10   | Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., photed forming, independently, in duplicate) and      | 4                       |
| process                      |      |  |                         |
| Data items                   | 11   | List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any              | SM                      |
|                              |      | assumptions and simplifications made.  |                         |
| Risk of bias in              |      | Describe methods used for assessing risk of blas of individual studies (including specification of           |                         |
| individual studies           | 12   | whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and now this information is to be used in any          | 4, 5, & <b>Figure 5</b> |
|                              |      | data synthesis.  |                         |
| Summary                      | 13   | State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).                                | 1, 4, 5, & <b>Table</b> |
| measures                     |      |  | 2                       |
| Synthesis of                 | 14   | Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures          | 4&5                     |
| results                      |      | of consistency (e.g., 1 <sup>2</sup> ) for each meta-analysis.   |                         |
| Risk of bias across          | 15   | Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias,      | 6 & Figure 5            |
| studies                      |      | selective reporting within studies).   | 0                       |
| Additional                   | 16   | Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if        | 5-7 & Figure 3          |
| analysis                     |      | done, indicating which were pre-specified.   | 0                       |
| Results                      |      |  |                         |
| Study selection              | 17   | Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for     | 5 & Figure 1            |
| · · · · <b>,</b> · · · · · · |      | exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.   | 0                       |
| Study                        | 18   | For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up    | 4. Table 1. & SM        |
| characteristics              | -    | period) and provide the citations.   | , ,                     |
| Risk of bias within          | 19   | Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (item 12).        | Figure 5                |
| studies                      |      |  |                         |
| Results of                   | 20   | For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for        | Table 1 &               |
| individual studies           |      | each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.           | Figure 2                |
| Synthesis of                 | 21   | Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals & measures of consistency         | 6, <b>Figure 2</b> , &  |
| results                      |      |  | SM                      |
| Risk of bias across          | 22   | Present results of any assessment of risk of hias across studies (item 15)                                   | 6 & Figure 4            |
| studies                      | LL   |  | o a ligure 4            |
| Additional                   | 23   | Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression        | 5-7 & <b>Figure 3</b>   |
| analysis                     | 25   | [item 16]).  | 5-1 & Figure 5          |
| Discussion                   |      |  |                         |
| Summary of                   | 24   | Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their         | 0.10                    |
| evidence                     | 24   | relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).                              | 8-10                    |
| Limitations                  | 25   | Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete   | 10                      |
| Limitations                  | 25   | retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).   | 10                      |
| Canalustan                   | 22   | Provide a general interpretation of results in context of other evidence, & implications for future          | 10                      |
| Conclusions                  | 26   | research.  | 10                      |
| Funding                      |      |  |                         |
|                              |      | Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data): role of      |                         |
| runaing                      | 21   | funders for the systematic review.   | 11                      |

Note. RPN: Reported on page number & SM: Supplementary material

## **APPENDIX B**

## Table B1. Search strategies

| Database       | Search strategy   | First hit results |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                | #1 "polymorphism, genetic" "[MeSH Terms]" OR "polymorphism, single nucleotide [MeSH Terms]"         |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #2 "'diabetic retinopathy"[MeSH Terms]"   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #3 ""superoxide dismutase"[MeSH Terms]"   | 19                |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #4 <b>#1 AND #2 AND #3</b>  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #1 TITLE-ABS-KEY ( "polymorphism, genetic" ) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ( "polymorphism, single nucleotide" ) |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sconuc         | #2 TITLE-ABS-KEY ( "diabetic retinopathy" )   | 10                |  |  |  |  |  |
| scopus         | #3 TITLE-ABS-KEY ( "superoxide dismutase" )   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #4 <b>#1</b> AND <b>#2</b> AND <b>#3</b>  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #1 TS=(polymorphism, genetic) OR TS=(polymorphism, single nucleotide )                              |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Web of Science | #2 TS=(diabetic retinopathy)  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Web of Science | #3 TS=(superoxide dismutase)  | 12                |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #4 <b>#1 AND #2 AND #3</b>  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ScienceDirect  | (polymorphism, genetic OR polymorphism, single nucleotide) AND (diabetic retinopathy) AND           | ECC               |  |  |  |  |  |
| ScienceDirect  | (superoxide dismutase)  | 000               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #1 *Polymorphism, Genetic/ or polymorphism, single nucleotide/                                      |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMBASE         | #2 *Superoxide Dismutase/   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | #3 *Diabetic Retinopathy/   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table B2. PICO framework

| Components of PICO | Definition   |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>P</b> opulation | Adults aged 18 or older diagnosed with T2DM according to American Diabetes Association 2021, along with diabetic |
|                    | retinopathy as diagnosed by ophthalmologists through standardized test.  |
| Index test         | Manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) Ala16Val genetic polymorphism.  |
| <b>C</b> omparator | Control (T2DM with no DR).   |
| Outcome            | DR susceptibility (odds ratio, 95% confidence interval).   |

## Table B3. Quality assessment for observational cohorts using NOS tool

| Included |     | Seleo | ction (0-4 p | oints) |     | Comparabilit | ty (0-2 points) | Outcome (0-3 points) |     |    |  |
|----------|-----|-------|--------------|--------|-----|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|----|--|
| studies  | REC | SNEC  | AE           | DOIW   | AIR | AOR          | AO              | FL                   | LFR | IP |  |
| [2]      | 1   | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 1            | 1               | 1                    | 1   | 9  |  |
| [20]     | 1   | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 1            | 1               | 1                    | 1   | 9  |  |
| [21]     | 1   | 0     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 1            | 1               | 1                    | 1   | 8  |  |
| [22]     | 1   | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 1            | 1               | 1                    | 1   | 9  |  |
| [23]     | 1   | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 0            | 1               | 1                    | 1   | 8  |  |
| [24]     | 1   | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1   | 0            | 0               | 1                    | 1   | 7  |  |

Note. REC: Representativeness of exposed cohort; SNEC: Selection of non-exposed cohort; AE: Ascertainment of exposure; DOIW: Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study; AIR: Adjust for the important risk factors; AOR: Adjust for other risk factors; AO: Assessment of outcome; FL: Follow-up length; LFR: Loss to follow-up rate; & TP: Total points